MANAGEMENT NETWORK GROUP INC Form 10-Q May 15, 2006

> UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

> > FORM 10-Q

(x) Quarterly report pursuant toSection 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended April 1, 2006

or

[ ] Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission file number: 0-27617

THE MANAGEMENT NETWORK GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

48-1129619

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

7300 COLLEGE BLVD., SUITE 302, OVERLAND PARK, KS 66210 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

913-345-9315

\_\_\_\_\_

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Accelerated Filer [] Large Accelerated Filer [] Non- Accelerated Filer [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the  $\mbox{ registrant}$  is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [ ] No [X]

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

As of May 12, 2006 TMNG had outstanding 35,854,985 shares of common stock.

THE MANAGEMENT NETWORK GROUP, INC. INDEX

PART T	FINANCIA	L INFORMATION:	
		Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited):	
		Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets - April 1, 2006 and December 31, 2005	3
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss - Thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005	4
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005	5
		Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
	ITEM 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	12
	ITEM 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	15
	ITEM 4.	Controls and Procedures	16
PART II.	OTHER II	NFORMATION	
	ITEM 1.	Legal Proceedings	16
	ITEM 1A	. Risk Factors	16
	ITEM 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds $\dots$	16
	ITEM 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	16
	ITEM 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	16
	ITEM 5.	Other Information	16
	ITEM 6.	Exhibits	16
	Signatu	res	17
	Exhibit:	s	18

PAGE

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

THE MANAGEMENT NETWORK GROUP, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands, except share and per share data) (unaudited)

	April 1, 2006	Dece 2
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents Short-term investments Receivables:	\$ 15,243 33,200	Ş
Accounts receivable Accounts receivable - unbilled	4,276 2,861	
Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts	7,137 (294)	
	6,843	
Refundable income taxes Prepaid and other assets	112 1,177	
Total current assets	56 <b>,</b> 575	
Property and equipment, net Goodwill Identifiable intangible assets, net Other assets	948 13,365 1,536 452	
Total Assets	\$ 72,876	 \$
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		===
Trade accounts payable Accrued payroll, bonuses and related expenses Other accrued liabilities Unfavorable and capital lease obligations	\$ 578 1,760 1,896 623	Ş
Total current liabilities	4,857	
Unfavorable and capital lease obligations	2,675	
<pre>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Common Stock: Voting - \$.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized; 35,790, 35,705,520 issued and outstanding on April 1, 2006 and December respectively Preferred stock \$.001 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding</pre>		

Additional paid-in capital	160,025	1
Accumulated deficit	(94,868)	(
Accumulated other comprehensive income -		
Foreign currency translation adjustment	151	
Unearned compensation		
Total stockholders' equity	65,344	
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 72 <b>,</b> 876	\$
		===

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

### THE MANAGEMENT NETWORK GROUP, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS (In thousands, except per share data) (unaudited)

	For the Thirteen Weeks Ended	
		April 2, 2005
Revenues	\$ 7,163	\$ 7,067
Cost of services (including equity related charges of \$193 and \$35 for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, respectively)	3,544	3,429
Gross Profit	3,619	 3 <b>,</b> 638
Operating Expenses: Selling, general and administrative (including equity related charges of \$510 and \$188 for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, respectively) Real estate restructuring	5,581	4,335 75
Intangible asset amortization	115	160
Total operating expenses	5,696	4,570
Loss from operationsOther Income:	(2,077)	(932)
Interest incomeOther, net	535	324 15
Total other income	535	339
Loss from continuing operations before income tax provision Income tax provision	(1,542) (21)	(593) (15)

Net loss	(1,563)	(608)
Other comprehensive item -		
Foreign currency translation		
adjustment	4	(70)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (1,559)	\$ (678)
	=======	
Loss per common share		
Basic and Diluted	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.02)
Weighted average shares used in calculation of net loss per common share		
Basic and Diluted	35,625	34,977
Daste and Difuted	55,025	54,911
		=======

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

### THE MANAGEMENT NETWORK GROUP, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands) (unaudited)

	For the Thirteen Weeks Ende	
	April 1, 2006	April 2005
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:	\$ (1,563)	\$ (60
Depreciation and amortization	214	28
Equity related chargesOther changes in operating assets and liabilities:	703	22
Accounts receivable	(392)	1,06
Accounts receivable - unbilled	(302)	(2,01
Refundable income taxes	5	59
Prepaid and other assets	87	6
Trade accounts payable	(447)	(29
Accrued liabilities	625	5
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,070)	(63
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of short-term investments Proceeds from maturities and sales of short-term	(800)	
investments	6,300	2,75
Acquisition of property and equipment	(147)	( 8

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Net cash provided by investing activities	5,353	2,66
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Payments made on long-term obligations Proceeds from exercise of stock options	(147) 152	 (26 15
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	5	(10
Effect of exchange rate on cash and cash equivalents	4	(7
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	4,292 10,951	1,85 10,88
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 15,243	\$ 12 <b>,</b> 74
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		======
Cash paid during period for interest	Ş	\$
Cash paid during period for taxes, net of refunds	======= \$ 16	======= \$ 1
Non-cash acquisitions of property and equipment included in accrued liabilities	======= \$ ========	====== \$ 16 ======

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

### THE MANAGEMENT NETWORK GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

### 1. Basis of Reporting

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of The Management Network Group, Inc. ("TMNG" or the "Company") as of April 1, 2006 and for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, are unaudited and reflect all normal recurring adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair presentation of the Company's condensed consolidated financial position, results of operations, and cash flows as of these dates and for the periods presented. The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information. Consequently, these statements do not include all the disclosures normally required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("US GAAP") for annual financial statements nor those normally made in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K. Accordingly, reference should be made to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for additional disclosures, including a summary of the Company's accounting policies. The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2005 has been derived from the audited Consolidated Balance Sheet at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes

required by US GAAP for complete financial statements.

Research and Development Costs - Expenditures relating to development of new offerings and services are expensed as incurred. Research and development costs (exclusive of associated sales and marketing related costs) were \$180,000 and \$115,000 in the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, respectively.

### 2. Share-Based Compensation

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment." SFAS No. 123R is a revision of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-based Compensation," and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APB") No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and its related implementation guidance. SFAS No. 123R focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee and non-employee services in exchange for share-based payment transactions. SFAS No. 123R establishes fair value as the measurement objective in accounting for share-based payment arrangements and requires all companies to apply a fair-value-based measurement method in accounting for generally all share-based payment transactions with employees and non-employees.

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective transition method. Accordingly, prior period amounts have not been restated; however, the balance presented as unearned compensation on nonvested shares (restricted stock) within stockholders' equity has been reclassified to additional paid-in capital as of January 1, 2006. Additionally, amounts previously classified as "equity related charges" on the condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss have been reclassified to cost of services or selling, general and administrative expense, as appropriate. SFAS No. 123R requires the netting of estimated forfeitures against compensation expense. The adoption of that policy was immaterial, therefore no cumulative effect change in accounting has been reported. Compensation expense is based on the calculated fair value of the awards and is expensed over the service period (generally the vesting period). Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company utilized the intrinsic value methodology in accounting for stock-based compensation for employees and non-employee directors in accordance with the provisions of APB No. 25 and related Interpretations.

Under the modified prospective transition method, compensation cost associated with stock options and nonvested shares for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 includes: (a) compensation cost for awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" and (b) compensation cost for awards granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value under SFAS No. 123R.

### Prior to Adoption of SFAS No. 123R

During the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005, the Company recognized compensation expense of \$223,000 related to the nonvested share grants made to key management personnel in prior periods. In addition, during the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005, the Company granted options to purchase 205,000 shares of the Company's common stock to employees at a weighted average exercise price of \$2.36 per share. At the date of the grants, the exercise price of the option awards equaled the market price of the Company's common stock.

The following table summarizes the pro forma effect of stock-based compensation on net loss and net loss per share for the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005:

	WEEK	RTEEN S ENDED 2, 2005
Net loss, as reported: Add: stock-based employee compensation	Ş	(608)
expense included in reported net loss Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based		
method for all awards	 \$	(606)
Pro forma net loss Loss per share	ې ====	(991)
Basic and diluted, as reported	\$ ====	(0.02)
Basic and diluted, pro forma	\$ ====	(0.03)

Subsequent to Adoption of SFAS No. 123R

The Company estimates the fair value of our stock options and stock issued under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. Groups of employees or non-employee directors that have similar historical and expected exercise behavior are considered separately for valuation purposes. The table below shows the weighted average of the assumptions used in estimating the fair value of stock options granted during the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005:

	THIRTEEN WEEKS ENDED APRIL 1, 2006	THIRTEEN WEEKS ENDED APRIL 2, 2005
Risk-free interest rate	4.46% - 4.83%	3.58% - 3.99%
Expected life	6 years	5 years
Expected volatility factor	83%	90%
Expected dividend rate	0%	0%

The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield at the time of grant for a term equal to the expected life of the stock option; prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the expected life is based on historical and expected exercise behavior; subsequent to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the expected life was determined using the simplified method of estimating the life as allowed under Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, "Share-Based Payment"; and the expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of our stock price for a period of time equal to the expected life of the stock option.

Nearly all of the Company's stock based compensation arrangements utilize graded vesting schedules where a portion of the grant vests annually over a period of two to four years. The Company has a policy of recognizing compensation expense for awards with graded vesting over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion of the award as if the award was, in-substance,

multiple awards. This policy has the effect of accelerating the recognition of expense when compared to a straight-line amortization methodology.

As of April 1, 2006, the Company has three share-based compensation plans, which are described below. The compensation cost that has been charged against income for those plans under SFAS No. 123R was \$703,000 for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006. As of April 1, 2006, unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures, related to the unvested portion of all share-based compensation arrangements was approximately \$3.7 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.2 years. The Company has historically issued and expects to continue to issue new shares to satisfy stock option exercises, vesting of nonvested shares or purchases of shares under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

1998 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN

Stock Options

The Company's 1998 Equity Incentive Plan (the 1998 Plan) is a shareholder approved plan, which provides for the granting of incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options to employees, and nonqualified stock options and nonvested shares to employees, directors and consultants. As of April 1, 2006, the Company has 3,530,362 shares of the Company's common stock available to grant as stock options under the 1998 Plan. Incentive stock options are granted at an exercise price of not less than market value per share of the common stock on the

7

date of grant as determined by the Board of Directors. Vesting and exercise provisions are determined by the Board of Directors. As of April 1, 2006, all options granted under the 1998 Plan were non-qualified stock options. Options granted under the 1998 Plan generally become exercisable over a three to four year period beginning on the date of grant. Options granted under the 1998 Plan have a maximum term of ten years.

A summary of the option activity of the Company's 1998 Plan as of April 1, 2006 and changes during the thirteen weeks then ended is presented below:

	SHARES	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	WEIGHTED AVER REMAINING CONTRACTUAL T
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	5,052,405	\$ 4.50	
Granted	652,000	\$ 2.46	
Exercised	(84,766)	\$ 1.78	
Forfeited/cancelled	(92,377)	\$ 6.11	
Outstanding at April 1, 2006	5,527,262 ======	\$ 4.28	7.4 years
Options exercisable at April 1, 2006	2,730,077	\$ 6.19	5.5 years
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the period		\$ 1.83	

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 was \$68,000. As of April 1, 2006, unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures, related to the unvested portion of stock options issued under the 1998 Plan was approximately \$2.8 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.2 years.

### Nonvested Shares

As of April 1, 2006, the Company has 1,055,000 shares of the Company's common stock available for grant as nonvested shares under the 1998 Plan for key management personnel. The shares are subject to restriction based upon a two to four year vesting schedule. The fair value of nonvested share awards is determined based on the closing trading price of our common stock on the grant date.

A summary of the status of nonvested shares granted under the 1998 Plan as of April 1, 2006 and changes during the thirteen weeks then ended is presented below:

		WEIGHTED AVERAGE
		GRANT DATE
	SHARES	FAIR VALUE
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	310,500	\$2.31
Vested	(43,750)	\$2.24
Outstanding at April 1, 2006	266,750	\$2.32

As of April 1, 2006, there was \$329,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested shares granted under the 1998 Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.9 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 was \$98,000.

#### 2000 SUPPLEMENTAL STOCK PLAN

As of April 1, 2006, the Company has 2,721,087 shares of the Company's common stock available to grant as stock options under the 2000 Supplemental Stock Plan (the 2000 Plan). The 2000 Plan provides the Company's common stock for the granting of nonqualified stock options to employees and is not subject to shareholder approval. Vesting and exercise provisions are determined by the Board of Directors. Options granted under the plan generally become exercisable over a period of up to four years beginning on the date of grant and have a maximum term of ten years.

8

A summary of the option activity of the Company's 2000 Plan as of April 1, 2006 and changes during the thirteen weeks then ended is presented below:

	SHARES	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	WEIGHTED AVER REMAINING CONTRACTUAL T
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	957,040	\$4.63	
Granted	132,500	\$2.48	
Forfeited/cancelled	(22,500)	\$3.50	
Outstanding at April 1, 2006	1,067,040	\$4.39	6.8 years
Options exercisable at April 1, 2006	683,891 ======	\$5.45	5.5 years
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the period		\$1.82	

As of April 1, 2006, unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures, related to the unvested portion of stock options issued under the 2000 Plan was approximately \$420,000 and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.2 years.

### EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN

Under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP), shares of the Company's common stock may be purchased at six-month intervals at 85% of the lower of the fair market value on the first day of the enrollment period or on the last day of each six-month period. Employees may purchase shares through a payroll deduction program having a value not exceeding 15% of their gross compensation during an offering period. In the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006, we recognized expense under SFAS No. 123R associated with purchases under the ESPP of \$67,000.

### 3. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

The Company calculates and presents earnings (loss) per share using a dual presentation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share. Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed in the same manner except the weighted average number of shares is increased for dilutive securities. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 128 "Earnings Per Share", the Company has not included the effect of stock options in the calculation of diluted loss per share for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, as the Company reported a loss from continuing operations for these periods and the effect would have been anti-dilutive. Had the Company reported net income for the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005 the treasury method of calculating common stock equivalents would have resulted in approximately 374,000 and 475,000 additional diluted shares, respectively.

#### 4. Business Segments

The Company identifies its segments based on the way management organizes the Company to assess performance and make operating decisions regarding the allocation of resources. In accordance with the criteria in SFAS No. 131 "Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information," the Company has concluded it has four operating segments: Operations, Strategy, Marketing and International; which are aggregated in one reportable segment, the Management Consulting Services segment. Management Consulting Services includes business strategy and planning, marketing and customer relationship management, billing system support, operating system support, revenue assurance, corporate investment services, and business model transformation. The company intends to

continue to measure and report its activities using our current segment structure. However, as the services provided by the Company evolve, management will continue to evaluate its segment reporting structure.

In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No 131, revenues earned in the United States and internationally based on the location where the services are performed are shown in the following table (amounts in thousands):

	FOR THE THIRTEEN WEEKS ENDED		
	APRIL 1, 2006	APRIL 2, 2005	
United States International:	\$ 6,945	\$ 6,608	
The Netherlands		39	
United Kingdom 345 Other 75	218		
15			
Total	\$7,163 ======	\$ 7,067 ======	

9

#### 5. Other Identifiable Intangible Assets

Included in the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of April 1, 2006 and December 31, 2005, are the following identifiable intangible assets (amounts in thousands):

	April	1, 2006	Decem	per 31, 2005
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Cost	Accumulated Amortization
Customer relationships Employee agreements	\$ 1,908	\$(1,751)	\$ 1,908 3,200	\$(1,709) (3,200)
S3 license agreement	1,500	(121)	1,500	(48)
Total	\$ 3,408	\$(1,872)	\$ 6,608 ======	\$(4,957) ======

Intangible amortization expense for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005 was \$115,000 and \$160,000, respectively. Intangible amortization expense is estimated to be approximately \$346,000 for the remainder of fiscal year 2006, \$319,000 in fiscal year 2007 and \$290,000 each in fiscal years 2008 through 2010.

#### 6. Income Taxes

In the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, the Company generated income tax benefits of \$624,000 and \$233,000, respectively. The Company recorded full valuation allowances against these income tax benefits in accordance with provisions of SFAS No. 109 "Accounting for income tax", which requires an estimation of the recoverability of the recorded income tax asset balances. In addition, the Company reported income tax provision of \$21,000 and

\$15,000 for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, respectively, related to state tax expense. As of April 1, 2006, the Company has recorded \$27.8 million of valuation allowances in connection with its net deferred tax assets.

#### 7. Real Estate Restructuring

In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2004, the Company made the decision to consolidate office space. In connection with this decision, a sublease agreement for unutilized space was entered into with a third party for the remainder of the original lease term. In accordance with SFAS No. 146 "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities," the decision to consolidate office space resulted in a charge of \$75,000 related to the buyout of an office equipment lease in the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005. The restructuring charge has been reflected as a component of Loss from Operations in the Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss.

#### 8. Loans to Officers

As of April 1, 2006, there is one outstanding line of credit between the Company and its Chief Executive Officer, Richard P. Nespola, which originated in fiscal year 2001. Aggregate borrowing outstanding against the line of credit at April 1, 2006 and December 31, 2005 totaled \$300,000 and are due in 2011. These amounts are included in other assets in the non-current assets section of the balance sheet. In accordance with the loan provisions, the interest rate charged on the loans is equal to the Applicable Federal Rate (AFR), as announced by the Internal Revenue Service, for short-term obligations (with annual compounding) in effect for the month in which the advance is made, until fully paid. Pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, no further loan agreements or draws against the line may be made by the Company to, or arranged by the Company for its executive officers. Interest payments on this loan are current as of April 1, 2006.

#### 9. Contingencies

In June 1998, the bankruptcy trustee of a former client, Communications Network Corporation, sued TMNG for a total of \$320,000 in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in New York seeking recovery of \$160,000 alleging an improper payment of consulting fees paid by the former client during the period from July 1, 1996, when an involuntary bankruptcy proceeding was initiated against the former client, through August 6, 1996, when the former client agreed to an order for relief in the bankruptcy proceeding, and \$160,000 in consulting fees paid by the former client after August 6, 1996. The bankruptcy trustee also sued TMNG for at least \$1.85 million for breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duties and negligence. In March 2006, the Company reached a settlement agreement with the bankruptcy trustee whereby the Company agreed to pay the trustee \$255,000 in exchange for being released from all potential liability under the suits discussed above. This settlement was fully reserved for as of December 31, 2005.

Additionally, as of April 1, 2006 the Company had outstanding demands aggregating approximately \$1.0 million by the bankruptcy trustees of several former clients in connection with collected balances near the customers' respective bankruptcy filing dates. Although the Company does not believe it received any preference payments from these former clients and plans to vigorously defend its position, the Company has established reserves of \$727,000 as of April 1, 2006 and December 31, 2005, which it believes are adequate in the event of loss or settlement on remaining outstanding claims.

The Company may become involved in various legal and administrative actions

arising in the normal course of business. These could include actions brought by taxing authorities challenging the employment status of consultants utilized by the Company. In addition, future customer bankruptcies could result in additional claims on collected balances for professional services near the bankruptcy filing date. While the resolution of any of such actions, claims, or the matters described above may have an impact on the financial results for the period in which they occur, the Company believes that the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect upon its consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

The Company establishes reserves for potential tax liabilities when, despite the belief that tax return positions are fully supported, certain positions are likely to be challenged and not be fully sustained. Such tax reserves are analyzed on a quarterly basis and adjusted based upon changes in facts and circumstances, such as the progress of federal and state audits, case law and emerging legislation. The Company's effective tax rate includes the impact of such tax reserves and changes to these reserves as considered appropriate by management. The Company establishes the reserves based upon its assessment of exposure associated with possible future assessments that may result from the examination of federal, state, or international tax returns. These tax reserves were \$649,000 at April 1, 2006 and December 31, 2005. Management believes that it has established adequate reserves in the event of loss or settlement of any potential tax liabilities.

#### 10. Subsequent Event

On April 3, 2006, we entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement with Adventis Limited, a United Kingdom company ("Limited") and Adventis Corporation, a Delaware corporation and the parent of Limited (together with Limited, "Adventis"), pursuant to which we have purchased all of the assets used in the operation of Adventis' international consulting business outside North America. Adventis is a global consulting firm specializing in telecommunications, technology and digital media. The acquired international operations of Adventis consist of 27 consultants located in London and Berlin with revenues from clients in Europe and Asia. The transaction was valued at a purchase price of approximately \$1.65 million, with approximately \$1.5 million paid in cash at closing, plus the assumption of approximately \$125,000 in net working capital deficiency. The acquisition closed on April 3, 2006.

Behrman Capital and its affiliates (collectively "Behrman"), an owner of 35% of TMNG's outstanding common stock, also owns 61% of the outstanding common stock of Adventis Corporation. Gant G. Behrman and William M. Matthes, who serve on the Board of Directors, are the Co-Managing Partners of Behrman. Despite owning a majority of Adventis Corporation's common stock, Behrman did not control Adventis at the time of this transaction. Adventis was under the control of its senior secured creditors as it underwent a sale of the business. In order to execute this purchase as an arms-length transaction, TMNG formed a Special Committee of the Board of Directors to evaluate the acquisition. The Special Committee consisted of the four independent board members not part of TMNG management or affiliated with Behrman. Behrman received none of the proceeds of this transaction.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In addition to historical information, this quarterly report contains

forward-looking statements. Certain risks and uncertainties could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected in such forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause a difference include, but are not limited to, those discussed in the sections entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Risk Factors" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management's opinions only as of the date of this report. We undertake no obligation to revise, or publicly release the results of any revision to, these forward-looking statements. Readers should carefully review the risk factors described in our annual report and in other documents that we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The following should be read in connection with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as presented in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

### EXECUTIVE FINANCIAL OVERVIEW

As discussed in our 2005 annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, the communications industry experienced a significant economic recession from 2001 through 2004. We are a consultancy to the industry, and as a result experienced a significant reduction in consulting business primarily due to the recession. We experienced significant revenue declines and/or net losses from 2001 to 2004. During this period we maintained relatively consistent gross margins through innovative pricing and high consultant utilization levels.

Beginning in late 2004 and continuing through first quarter of 2006, we have seen significant changes in the industry resulting from consolidation, technology transformation and the convergence of the telecommunications, media and entertainment sectors. During the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006, our revenues increased 1.4% compared with the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005 and 15% compared to the thirteen weeks ended December 31, 2005. Gross margins were 50.5% in the first quarter of 2006 compared with 51.5% in the first quarter of 2005. On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R which reduced gross margins for the quarter by 2.6%, offsetting improvements in gross margin due to a shift in the mix of business to more strategy consulting engagements. We continue to focus our efforts on identifying, adapting to and capitalizing on the change elements present in the converging communications industry, as well as emphasizing wireless and IP initiatives within the communications sector.

Selling, general and administrative costs in the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005 were up 28.7% as compared to the same period of 2005. The increase reflects the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R of \$443,000 in the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006. In addition we incurred increased recruiting and incentive compensation costs associated with growth in our CSMG business unit along with additional salaries, travel and entertainment expenses associated with investment in new clients and intellectual property. Although these costs have impacted our short-term profitability, we believe they will better enable us to capitalize on the industry convergence and migration toward wireless and IP platforms. We are also focusing our marketing efforts on growth markets surrounding large and sustainable clients to maintain a portfolio of business that is high credit quality, thus reducing bad debt risks.

It is important to note that subsequent to April 1, 2006, we finalized an asset purchase agreement with Adventis Limited, a United Kingdom company ("Limited") and Adventis Corporation, a Delaware corporation and the parent of Limited (together with Limited, "Adventis"), pursuant to which we have purchased all of the assets used in the operation of Adventis' international consulting business outside North America. Adventis is a global consulting firm specializing in telecommunications, technology and digital media. The acquired international

operations of Adventis consist of 27 consultants located in London and Berlin with revenues from clients in Europe and Asia. The transaction was valued at a purchase price of approximately \$1.65 million, with approximately \$1.5 million paid in cash at closing, plus the assumption of approximately \$125,000 in net working capital deficiency. The acquisition closed on April 3, 2006.

#### OPERATIONAL OVERVIEW

Revenues typically consist of consulting fees for professional services and related expense reimbursements. Our consulting services are typically contracted on a time and materials basis, a time and materials basis not to exceed contract price, a fixed fee basis, or contingent fee basis. Revenues on contracts with a not to exceed contract price or a fixed cost contract are recorded under the percentage of completion method, utilizing estimates of project completion under both of these types of contracts. Larger fixed price contracts have recently begun to represent a more significant component of our revenue mix. Contract revenues on contingent fee contracts are deferred until the revenue is realizable and earned.

Generally, a client relationship begins with a short-term engagement utilizing a few consultants. Our sales strategy focuses on building long-term relationships with both new and existing clients to gain additional engagements within existing accounts and referrals for new clients. Strategic alliances with other companies are also used to sell services. We anticipate that we will continue to pursue these marketing strategies in the future. Because we are a consulting company, we experience fluctuations in revenues derived from clients during the course of a project lifecycle. As a result, the volume of work performed for specific clients varies from period to period and a major client from one period may not use our services or the same volume of services in another period. In addition, clients generally may end their engagements with little or no penalty or notice. If a client engagement ends earlier than expected, we must re-deploy professional service personnel as any resulting unbilable time could harm margins.

12

Cost of services consists primarily of compensation for consultants who are employees and equity related charges for stock options and nonvested shares (restricted stock) primarily to consultants, as well as fees paid to independent contractor organizations and related expense reimbursements. Employee compensation includes certain unbillable time, training, vacation time, benefits and payroll taxes. Gross margins are primarily impacted by the type of consulting services provided; the size of service contracts and negotiated volume discounts; changes in our pricing policies and those of competitors; utilization rates of consultants and independent subject matter experts; and employee and independent contractor costs, which tend to be higher in a competitive labor market.

Operating expenses include selling, general and administrative, equity related charges, intangible asset amortization, and real estate restructuring charges. Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of personnel salaries, bonuses, and related costs for direct client sales efforts and marketing staff. We primarily use a relationship sales model in which partners, principals and senior consultants generate revenues. In addition, sales and marketing expenses include costs associated with marketing collateral, product development, trade shows and advertising. General and administrative expenses consist mainly of costs for accounting, recruiting and staffing, information technology, personnel, insurance, rent, and outside professional services incurred in the normal course of business. Included in selling, general and administrative expenses are equity related charges incurred in connection with stock-based compensation awards.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

While the selection and application of any accounting policy may involve some level of subjective judgments and estimates, we believe the following accounting policies are the most critical to our consolidated financial statements, potentially involve the most subjective judgments in their selection and application, and are the most susceptible to uncertainties and changing conditions:

- Allowance for Doubtful Accounts;
- Impairment of Goodwill and Long-lived Intangible Assets;
- Revenue Recognition; and
- Deferred Income Tax Assets.

Allowances for Doubtful Accounts - Substantially all of our receivables are owed by companies in the communications industry. We typically bill customers for services after all or a portion of the services have been performed and require customers to pay within 30 days. We attempt to control credit risk by being diligent in credit approvals, limiting the amount of credit extended to customers and monitoring customers' payment record and credit status as work is being performed for them.

We recorded no bad debt expense in the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, as no provision was necessary for our allowance for doubtful accounts to maintain a level appropriate with the anticipated default rate of the underlying accounts receivable balances. Our allowance for doubtful accounts totaled \$294,000 and \$296,000 as of April 1, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The calculation of these amounts is based on judgment about the anticipated default rate on receivables owed to us as of the end of the reporting period. That judgment was based on uncollected account experience in prior years and our ongoing evaluation of the credit status of our customers and the communications industry in general.

We have attempted to mitigate credit risk by concentrating our marketing efforts on the largest and most stable companies in the communications industry and by tightly controlling the amount of credit provided to customers. If we are unsuccessful in these efforts, or if our customers file for bankruptcy or experience financial difficulties, it is possible that the allowance for doubtful accounts will be insufficient and we will have a greater bad debt loss than the amount reserved, which would adversely affect our financial performance and cash flow.

Impairment of Goodwill and Long-lived Intangible Assets - Goodwill and other long-lived intangible assets arising from our acquisitions are subjected to periodic review for impairment. SFAS No. 142 requires an annual evaluation at the reporting unit level of the fair value of goodwill and compares the calculated fair value of the reporting unit to its book value to determine whether an impairment has been deemed to occur. Any impairment charge would be based on the most recent estimates of the recoverability of the recorded goodwill. If the remaining book value assigned to goodwill in an acquisition is higher than the estimated fair value of the reporting unit, there is a requirement to write down these assets. The determination of fair value requires management to make assumptions about future cash flows and discounted rates. These assumptions require significant judgment and estimations about future events and are thus subject to significant uncertainty. If actual cash flows turn out to be less than projected, we may be required to take further write-downs, which could increase the variability and volatility of our future results.

Revenue Recognition--We recognize revenue from time and material contracts in

the period in which our services are performed. In addition to time and materials contracts, our other types of contracts include time and materials contracts not to exceed contract price, fixed fee contracts, and contingent fee contracts.

We recognize revenues on time and materials contracts not to exceed contract price and fixed fee contracts using the percentage of completion method. Percentage of completion accounting involves calculating the percentage of services provided during the reporting period compared with the total estimated services to be provided over the duration of the contract. For all contracts, estimates of total contract revenues and costs are continuously monitored during the term of the contract, and recorded revenues and costs are subject to revisions as the contract progresses. Such revisions may result in a material increase or decrease in revenues and income and are reflected in the financial statements in the periods in which they are first identified.

13

We also may enter into contingent fee contracts, in which revenue is subject to achievement of savings or other agreed upon results, rather than time spent. Due to the nature of contingent fee contracts, we recognize costs as they are incurred on the project and defer revenue recognition until the revenue is realizable and earned as agreed to by our clients. Although these contracts can be very rewarding, the profitability of these contracts is dependent on our ability to deliver results for our clients and control the cost of providing these services. Both of these types of contracts are typically more results-oriented and are subject to greater risk associated with revenue recognition and overall project profitability than traditional time and materials contracts. We did not enter into or deliver on any contingent fee contracts for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006.

Deferred Income Tax Assets - We have generated substantial deferred income tax assets primarily from the accelerated financial statement write-off of goodwill, the charge to compensation expense taken for stock options and net operating loss carryforwards. For us to realize the income tax benefit of these assets, we must generate sufficient taxable income in future periods when such deductions are allowed for income tax purposes. In some cases where deferred taxes were the result of compensation expense recognized on stock options, our ability to realize the income tax benefit of these assets is also dependent on our share price increasing to a point where these options will be exercised. In assessing whether a valuation allowance is needed in connection with our deferred income tax assets, we have evaluated our ability to carry back tax losses to prior years that reported taxable income, and our ability to generate sufficient taxable income in future periods to utilize the benefit of the deferred income tax assets. Such projections of future taxable income require significant subjective judgments and estimates by us. As of April 1, 2006, cumulative valuation allowances in the amount of \$27.8 million were recorded in connection with the net deferred income tax assets. We continue to evaluate the recoverability of the recorded deferred income tax asset balances. If we continue to report net operating losses for financial reporting in future years, no additional tax benefit would be recognized for those losses, since we would be required to increase our valuation allowance to offset such amounts.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THIRTEEN WEEKS ENDED APRIL 1, 2006 COMPARED TO THIRTEEN WEEKS ENDED APRIL 2, 2005

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective transition method. SFAS No. 123R requires the Company to recognize

compensation expense for all share-based awards made to employees and non-employee directors. Compensation expense is based on the calculated fair value of the awards as measured at the grant date using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model and is expensed ratably over the service period of the awards (generally the vesting period). For periods prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company utilized the intrinsic value methodology in accounting for stock-based compensation for employees and non-employee directors in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related Interpretations.

#### REVENUES

Revenues increased 1.4% to \$7.2 million for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 from \$7.1 million for the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005. The increase in revenue is attributable to greater than 100 percent growth in our CSMG business unit, largely offset by the unexpected pullback of a major client project due to the client's business restructuring which negatively impacted revenues by approximately \$1 million. During the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006, the Company provided services on 83 customer projects, compared to 123 projects performed in the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005. Average revenue per project was \$86,000 in the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 compared to \$57,000 in the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005. The increase in average revenue per project was primarily attributable to a shift in the mix of business to larger higher rate strategy-related projects in the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006. Our international revenue base decreased to 3.0% of revenues for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006, from 6.5% for the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005, due primarily to the completion of multiple large projects in Western Europe in the latter part of fiscal year 2005, combined with an increase in our domestic project and revenue base.

Revenues recognized in connection with fixed price and contingent fee engagements totaled \$3.4 million and \$3.2 million, representing 46.9% and 45.2% of total revenue, for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, respectively, . This increase is due to the mix of our business shifting to more strategy and management consulting engagements which are more likely to be structured as fixed price or contingent fee engagements.

#### COSTS OF SERVICES

Costs of services increased 3.4% for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006, compared to the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005. As a percentage of revenue, our gross margin was 50.5% for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006, compared to 51.5% for the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005. The decrease in gross margin was primarily attributable to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R effective January 1, 2006 which resulted in a reduction of gross margins of 2.6%, offsetting improvements in gross margin obtained by a shift in the mix of services to more strategy and management consulting engagements in relation to resourcing engagements.

#### OPERATING EXPENSES

In total, operating expenses increased by 24.6% to \$5.7 million for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006, from \$4.6 million for the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005. Operating expenses include selling, general and administrative costs (inclusive of equity related charges), real estate restructuring charges and intangible asset amortization.

Selling, general and administrative expense increased to \$5.6 million or 28.7%

in the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006, compared to the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2005. The increase is partially related to equity related charges of \$510,000 in the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 compared to \$188,000 for the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005. The increase in equity related charges is attributable to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R and incentive compensation effective January 1, 2006. In addition we incurred increased recruiting and incentive compensation costs associated with growth in our CSMG business unit along with additional salaries, travel and entertainment expenses associated with investment in new clients and intellectual property.

Intangible asset amortization was \$115,000 and \$160,000 for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, respectively. The decrease in amortization expense was due to certain intangible assets becoming fully amortized in early first quarter 2005.

### OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES

Interest income was \$535,000 and \$324,000 for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, respectively, and represented interest earned on invested balances. Interest income increased for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 as compared to the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005 due to increases in interest rates from 2005 to 2006. We primarily invest in money market funds and investment-grade auction rate securities as part of our overall investment policy.

#### INCOME TAXES

In the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005 we recorded no income tax benefit related to our pre-tax losses in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes" which requires an estimation of the recoverability of the recorded income tax asset balances. We continue to evaluate the recoverability of our recorded deferred income tax asset balances. If we continue to report net operating losses for financial reporting, no additional tax benefit would be recognized for those losses, since we would be required to increase our valuation allowance to offset such amounts. We reported an income tax provision of \$21,000 and \$15,000 for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, respectively, related to state tax expense.

#### NET LOSS

Net loss increased 157% to \$1.6 million for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006, from \$608,000 for the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R as well as increased selling, general and administrative expenses due to the strategic addition of consultants to support growth in our CSMG business unit.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash used in operating activities was \$1.1 million and \$0.6 million for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, respectively. Cash used in operating activities for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005 primarily related to operating losses.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$5.4 million and \$2.7 million for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, respectively. This includes net proceeds from sales and reinvestments of auction rate securities of \$5.5 million and \$2.8 million in the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, respectively. Cash used in investing activities also includes \$147,000 and \$86,000 for the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006 and April 2, 2005, respectively, related to spending on capitalized office equipment, software and computer equipment.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$5,000 in the thirteen weeks ended April 1, 2006, and related to proceeds received from the exercise of employee stock options, partially offset by payments made on long-term obligations. Net cash used in financing activities was \$105,000 in the thirteen weeks ended April 2, 2005, and related to payments made by the Company on the current portion of capital lease obligations, partially offset by proceeds received from the exercise of stock options.

At April 1, 2006, we had approximately \$48.4 million in cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments. We believe we have sufficient cash and short-term investments to meet anticipated cash requirements, including anticipated capital expenditures, consideration for possible acquisitions, and any future operating losses that may be incurred, for at least the next 12 months. Should our cash and short-term investments prove insufficient we might need to obtain new debt or equity financing to support our operations or complete acquisitions. We have established a flexible model that provides a lower fixed cost structure than most consulting firms, enabling us to scale operating cost structures more quickly based on market conditions. Our strong cash position and absence of long-term debt have enabled us to weather adverse conditions in the telecommunications industry and to make investments in intellectual property we believe are enabling us to capitalize on the current recovery and transformation of the industry; however, if the industry and demand for our consulting services do not continue to rebound and we continue to experience negative cash flow, we could experience liquidity challenges at some future point.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not invest excess funds in derivative financial instruments or other market rate sensitive instruments for the purpose of managing our foreign currency exchange rate risk. We invest excess funds in short-term investments, including auction rate securities, the yield of which is exposed to interest rate market risk. Auction rate securities are classified as available-for-sale and reported on the balance sheet at fair value,

15

which equals market value, as the rate on such securities resets generally every 28 to 35 days. Consequently, interest rate movements do not materially affect the balance sheet valuation of fixed income investments. Changes in the overall level of interest rates do affect our interest income generated from investments.

We do not have material exposure to market related risks. Foreign currency exchange rate risk may become material given U.S. dollar to foreign currency exchange rate changes and significant increases in international engagements denominated in the local currency of our clients.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

A review and evaluation was performed by our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (the "CEO") and Chief Financial Officer (the "CFO"), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on that review and evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that our current disclosure controls and procedures, as designed and implemented, were effective. There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect our internal controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation. There were no significant material weaknesses identified in the course of such review and evaluation and, therefore, we took

no corrective measures.

### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We have not been subject to any material new litigation or claims since the time of our 10-K filing, on March 30, 2006. For a summary of litigation in which we are currently involved, refer to our annual report on Form 10-K, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 30, 2006 and Note 9 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

In June 1998, the bankruptcy trustee of a former client, Communications Network Corporation, sued TMNG for a total of \$320,000 in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in New York seeking recovery of \$160,000 alleging an improper payment of consulting fees paid by the former client during the period from July 1, 1996, when an involuntary bankruptcy proceeding was initiated against the former client, through August 6, 1996, when the former client agreed to an order for relief in the bankruptcy proceeding, and \$160,000 in consulting fees paid by the former client after August 6, 1996. The bankruptcy trustee also sued us for at least \$1.85 million for breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duties and negligence. In March 2006, we reached a settlement agreement with the bankruptcy trustee whereby we agreed to pay the trustee \$255,000 in exchange for being released from all potential liability under the suits discussed above.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There has been no material change in the Risk Factors previously disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 30, 2006.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits

Exhibit 10.1 Asset Purchase Agreement between the registrant and Adventis Limited and Adventis Corporation\*

Exhibit 31. Certifications pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 32. Certifications furnished pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

\* Portions of this document have been redacted pursuant to a Request for

Confidential Treatment filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Redacted portions are indicated with the notation [\*\*\*].

16

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
/s/ RICHARD P. NESPOLA	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	May 15, 2006
Richard P. Nespola	(Principal executive officer)	